Transatlantic Take



EU Expansion Receives an Unlikely Boost

By Michael Leigh

BRUSSELS — A reaffirmation of interest in the Western Balkans, a politically fragile area surrounded by EU member states, is timely. But EU leaders in Brussels should not get too far ahead of member states or raise hopes that are unlikely to be fulfilled. President of the European Commission Jean-Claude Juncker provoked perplexity by his prediction that the EU could have more members after 2019 and his call for a special strategy to prepare Serbia and Montenegro for membership by 2025. Why did he make these remarks, given democratic weaknesses in the region and the member states' reluctance to consider further expansion?

Juncker has a good relationship with the region's de facto leader, Aleksandar Vučić, president of Serbia and a fellow member of the European People's Party (EPP). The EPP's success in the 2014 European Parliament election catapulted Juncker into his present position. Perhaps the Commission President is also making amends for his earlier comment that no candidate country could expect membership on his watch.

But Juncker, a proponent of the EU's embryonic "security union," should not rely on future membership as the remedy for regional instability. Moreover, common membership of the loose EPP group is not a sound basis for policymaking. Picking out Serbia and Montenegro for a dedicated membership plan with an implied accession date is scarcely justified by facts on the ground. To be sure, Belgrade has retained much of the administrative capacity of the former Yugoslavia and Montenegro, a country of just over 600,000 people, joined NATO in June. For now, these are the only countries actively involved in EU membership talks. But their relations with neighboring countries as well as their own democratic reforms have a long way to go. The Commission's progress reports show that much remains to be done to overcome reform fatigue.

EU leaders appreciated the closing of the Balkan migration route, following the 2015 refugee crisis. The growing influence of Russia, as well as Turkey, China, and the Gulf States in the Western Balkans may also have led Juncker to reiterate the region's European destiny. Juncker clearly wanted to make a sharp distinction between Turkey, whose aspirations for EU membership are off track, and the Balkan countries, which are moving ahead, albeit falteringly.

Still, the alleged coup attempt in Montenegro, and the storming of the Macedonian Parliament this spring were reminders that stability in the region cannot be



Transatlantic Take

taken for granted. Many young people have joined the self-proclaimed Islamic State from Kosovo and Bosnia-Herzegovina and arms trafficking is widespread.

There are limits to outsiders' capacity to impose effective democratic reforms without the wholehearted commitment of the governments concerned. Even the incentive of membership is not always decisive, as backsliding in Central and Eastern Europe shows. The EU is considering withholding financial support from member countries that do not live up to the bloc's democratic standards.

Fresh thinking is needed if the EU wants a credible enlargement strategy in the Western Balkans. People there are tired of hearing about EU membership while little changes in their daily lives. The 2017, Balkan opinion barometer produced by the Regional Cooperation Council shows a severe drop of trust in an EU future (the most enthusiastic are the Kosovars though their membership prospects are probably the most distant of all). In Serbia, only 26 percent of respondents consider that EU membership would be a good thing for their country. Anxieties over corruption, crime, and the "brain-drain" are on the rise.

To build trust, the EU needs to come up with real and tangible incentives, beyond visa liberalization. A renewed effort is required to promote reconciliation after the conflicts — the scars remain all too visible. The EU should focus more on support for civil society, especially through small-scale projects in rural areas that can be implemented quickly. GMF's Balkan Trust for Democracy is a model for turning around project applications quickly and keeping bureaucracy to a minimum. Ultimately, it is civil society in the region rather than the EU that will hold governments accountable.

Taking on new members is hardly the flavor of the month in an EU beset with challenges from eurozone governance and unemployment to migration, terrorism, populism, erosion of support for mainstream parties, and Brexit. The Western Balkans' will lose one of its main proponents once the U.K. leaves the EU. Germany, the region's other major advocate, faces a period of political turbulence. President Donald Trump favors a low profile for the United States in world affairs. The U.S. administration seems content for the EU to lead in the region, while continuing to provide support below the political radar screen.

The governments of the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Slovakia are wary of current speculation about a "multi-speed' Europe, fearing that they could become second-class members under such a scheme. Any hint of an alternative to full membership would be discouraging in the Western Balkans. Yet, a more flexible EU, possibly involving different forms of provisional membership, may be required in the future to maintain the credibility of the enlargement process.

About the Author

Sir Michael Leigh is a senior fellow focusing on European Neighborhood Policy, Eastern Europe, the Mediterranean, and the Middle East, and the future of the EU.

About GMF

The German Marshall Fund of the United States (GMF) strengthens transatlantic cooperation on regional, national, and global challenges and opportunities in the spirit of the Marshall Plan. GMF does this by supporting individuals and institutions working in the transatlantic sphere, by convening leaders and members of the policy and business communities, by contributing research and analysis on transatlantic topics, and by providing exchange opportunities to foster renewed commitment to the transatlantic relationship. In addition, GMF supports a number of initiatives to strengthen democracies. Founded in 1972 as a non-partisan, non-profit organization through a gift from Germany as a permanent memorial to Marshall Plan assistance, GMF maintains a strong presence on both sides of the Atlantic. In addition to its headquarters in Washington, DC, GMF has offices in Berlin, Paris, Brussels, Belgrade, Ankara, Bucharest, and Warsaw. GMF also has smaller representations in Bratislava, Turin, and Stockholm.

1744 R Street NW Washington, DC 20009 T 1 202 683 2650 | F 1 202 265 1662 | E info@gmfus.org http://www.gmfus.org/