


Erratum

Absent Influencers? Women in European Think Tanks

Rosa Balfour, Corinna Horst, Pia Hüsich, Sofiia Shevchuk, Eleonora del Vecchio

After we published the paper *Absent Influencers? Women in European Think Tanks*, we realized there the data concerning the staff composition numbers of the Polish Institute of International Affairs (PISM) in the policy paper was incorrect. The affected parts of the text and graphics are listed below with the correct data. These corrections do not affect the conclusions and overall argument of the paper.

On page 5, the corrected version of “Figure 1. Gender breakdown of think tank roles, %” is as below.



On page 5, the sentence:

“At the non-senior level of content work, 48 percent of experts are women.”

should read

“At the non-senior level of content work, **49 percent** of experts are women.”

On page 5, the sentence:

“The numbers tell a different story when it comes to the administration of European think tanks. In those surveyed, women make up 66 percent of senior employees and 74 percent of non-senior ones in “organizational” departments such as communications, finance, human resources, IT, and partnership and fundraising.”

should read

“The numbers tell a different story when it comes to the administration of European think tanks. In those surveyed, women make up **65 percent** of senior employees and **75 percent** of non-senior ones in “organizational” departments such as communications, finance, human resources, IT, and partnership and fundraising.”

On page 12, the corrected “Annex 2. Gender Composition of 25 European Think Tanks” is as below.

President		Director		Board		Content				Non-Content			
						Senior		Other		Senior		Other	
Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Belgium—Bruegel (28 Male, 20 Female; 58%-42%)													
0	0	1	0	6	5	8	3	7	4	1	3	5	5
Belgium—Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) (38 Male, 37 Female; 51%-49%)													
1	0	1	0	10	4	8	2	12	18	5	2	1	11
Bulgaria—Center for Liberal Strategies (7 Male, 8 Female; 47%-53%)													
0	0	0	0	4	1	3	4	0	1	0	0	0	2
Czech Republic—EUROPEUM Institute for European Policy (5 Male, 8 Female; 38%-62%)													
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	1	1
Czech Republic—Institute of International Relations Prague (IIR Prague) (29 Male, 12 Female; 71%-29%)													
0	0	1	0	8	3	19	6	0	0	0	0	1	3
Czech Republic—Prague Security Studies Institute (PSSI) (18 Male, 11 Female; 62%-38%)													
0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	11	6	0	0	3	3
Denmark—Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS) (36 Male, 36 Female; 50%-50%)													
0	0	1	0	7	4	19	11	4	7	2	3	3	11
Estonia—Praxis (9 Male, 22 Female; 29%-71%)													
0	0	0	0	4	2	1	4	3	11	0	4	1	1
France—French Institute of International Relations (46 Male, 33 Female; 58%-42%)													
1	0	2	0	23	13	8	6	11	3	1	2	0	9
Germany—Ecologic Institute: Science and Policy for a Sustainable World (39 Male, 59 Female; 40%-60%)													
1	0	0	1	6	3	10	12	11	20	4	6	7	17
Germany—German Council on Foreign Relations (DGAP) (26 Male, 29 Female; 47%-53%)													
2	0	0	1	8	3	10	6	4	9	2	3	0	7
Hungary—Center for Security and Defense Studies (BHKK) (10 Male, 2 Female; 83%-17%)													
0	0	0	1	8	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Italy—Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI) (51 Male, 47 Female; 52%-48%)													
3	0	0	1	25	12	10	7	6	12	2	2	5	13
Italy—Istituto per gli Studi di Politica Internazionale (ISPI) (85 Male, 40 Female; 68%-32%)													
4	0	1	0	57	7	10	4	10	6	3	15	0	8
Latvia—Providus (2 Male, 4 Female; 33%-67%)													
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0
Lithuania—Lithuanian Free Market Institute (12 Male, 11 Female; 52%-48%)													
0	0	0	1	10	2	0	1	2	3	0	2	0	2
Netherlands—Clingendael (33 Male, 36 Female; 48%-52%)													
0	0	1	0	5	2	9	8	14	19	0	1	4	6
Poland—Polish Institute of International Affairs (PISM) (53 Male, 25 Female; 68%-32%)													
0	0	1	0	12	0	8	3	25	9	2	2	5	11
Slovenia—Slovak Foreign Policy Association (20 Male, 4 Female; 83%-17%)													
0	0	1	1	11	1	0	0	8	1	0	1	0	0
Spain—Barcelona Centre for International Affairs (CIDOB) (33 Male, 23 Female; 59%-41%)													
1	0	1	0	16	3	3	4	6	4	2	2	4	10
Spain—Elcano Royal Institute (64 Male, 32 Female; 67%-33%)													
2	0	2	0	30	8	23	11	4	4	2	5	1	4
United Kingdom—Adam Smith Institute (26 Male, 3 Female; 90%-10%)													
0	0	1	0	0	0	18	0	6	2	1	1	0	0
United Kingdom—Chatham House (61 Male, 105 Female; 37%-63%)													
0	0	1	0	9	7	19	15	8	10	14	22	10	51
United Kingdom—International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) (70 Male, 38 Female; 65%-35%)													
0	0	1	0	22	4	18	4	13	7	4	8	12	15
United Kingdom—LSE IDEAS (20 Male, 18 Female; 53%-47%)													
0	0	2	0	5	2	5	2	6	7	1	1	1	6